	ED STATES PATENT A	AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P. Do Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 222 www.uspto.gov	Trademark Office OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/507,173	09/10/2004	Ulrich Klipstein	03100212AA	8964
30743 75	590 08/09/2005	EXAMINER		
	CURTIS & CHRISTOF	HO, BINH VAN		
SUITE 340	I HILLS KOAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, VA	20190		2821	
		DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/507,17	13	KLIPSTEIN ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Binh V. He		2821			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
<ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply sectified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 July 2005</u> .							
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pe	nding in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rej	ected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are su	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is ob	jected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed or	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 September 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			• •				
Attachmont(c)							
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTC	-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Summa	rv (PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent	rawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statemen Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/10/2004</u>		3)	<ul> <li>5) 1 Notice of Informal</li> <li>6) 0 Other:</li> </ul>	I Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							

.

.

# **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Drawings

1. Drawing is objected because it fails to show a capacitor is connected in series with a switch.

### Claim Objection

2. Claim 1 is objected "can be" should be changed to -- is --.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1, line 10, "a capacitor is connected in series with a switch" is not disclosed

because the specification and drawing fail to show the series connection, such as one

single path flows to the switch and the capacitor.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention.

Claim 1, lines 7-8, "between a connecting point between" needs to be rephrased that remove on "between" in a sentence.

Claim 1, line 9, "on the one hand" means another point of view, which is contrastive to prior condition, however the claim does not show any contrastive limitation.

Claim 1, line 10, "on the other hand" means another point of view, which is

contrastive to prior condition, however the claim does not show any contrastive

condition.

Claim 1, line 11, "the capacitor" is vague because it is not clear whether it is refer

to the capacitor show in line 5 or in line 10.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1 - 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Waki (5,233,273)

(Claim 1)

Waki discloses a ballast device for a discharge lamp (15), having a DC voltage

supply stage (11), semiconductor 5 switches (30,31) which are switched a

radiofrequency clock frequency for the purpose of changing the current direction

through the discharge lamp (15), a starting transformer (22), to which the DC voltage of

the DC voltage supply stage (11) can be supplied via a series capacitor (12), and a

ballast inductance (14) which is connected to an electrode, not connected the starting

transformer (22), of the discharge lamp (15), characterized in that, between a connecting point between the series capacitor (12) and the starting transformer (22), on the one hand, and a connecting point between the discharge lamp (15) and the ballast inductance (14), on the other hand, a capacitor (25) is connected in series with a switch (24), and in that the capacitor (25) forms, with the ballast inductance (14), a series resonant circuit which is tuned to a higher harmonic of the clock frequency.

(Claim 2)

Waki discloses characterized in that the series resonant circuit is tuned to the third to sixth harmonic of the clock frequency (col. 17, lines 62 +).

(Claim 3)

Waki discloses characterized in that a resistor (Figure 13) is connected in parallel with the capacitor (25)

## Inquiry

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh V. Ho whose telephone number is 571 272 8583. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don K. Wong can be reached on 571 272 1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Binh V Ho Examiner Art Unit 2821

Binh Van Ho 08/04/2005

WILSON LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER